# SPINE INTERVENTION SOCIETY 23RD ANNUAL SCIENTIFIC MEETING **Best Practices In Interventional Spine Care**





**JULY 28-**AUGUST 1, 2015 BELLAGIO LAS VEGAS NEVADA



International Spine Intervention Society July 30th, 2015

#### D.J. Kennedy, M.D.

Residency Program Director Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Clinical Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics Stanford University







#### DISCLOSURES

Board of Directors – (Travel, no honoraria) Association of Academic Physiatrists International Spine Intervention Society Journal Editorial Boards PM&R- Senior Editor Pain Medicine – Editorial Board (no monetary) •Research support (paid directly to institution) International Spine Intervention Society Consultant & Expert Witness – State Farm (hourly fee)







Pain Medicine 2015; 16: 673–679 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

## SPINE SECTION

#### **Original Research Article**

#### The Use of Moderate Sedation for the Secondary Prevention of Adverse Vasovagal Reactions

David J. Kennedy, MD,\* Byron Schneider, MD,\* Matthew Smuck, MD,\* and Christopher T. Plastaras, MD<sup>†</sup>



## Background

- Vasovagal common
- **Prevalence 0-8.7%**<sup>1,2</sup>

- Higher rates in:
- males
- under the age of 65
- with higher pre-procedural pain scores

• Can result in early procedural termination up to 30%



#### Methods

- 6,364 consecutive fluoro guided spine injections
  - 3,529 consecutive patients
  - Cervical, Lumbar and Thoracic Segments
  - TFESI, MBB, IA Facet, Discal, SIJ, RF, Caudal, ILESI
- Multiple physicians in Single academic Medical center



#### Methods

- All patients monitored with pulse ox, nurse, intermittent BP
- Positive VV Defined: decrement in HR and BP, with one or more symptoms of VV: lightheadedness, dizziness, palpitations, nausea, feeling warm, excessive diaphoresis
- Rigorous Immediate data entry into EMR with drop down menus
- Retrospective Analysis



Sedation At discretion of treating physician or request of patient Patient Anxiety History of VV Typical Sedation • 1-4 mg midazolam 25-100 mg IV fentanyl



























# All Truth in a 2x2 Table – *Overall Cohort*

	VV	No VV	Total
No Sedation	184	5876	6060
Sedation	0	170	170
Total	184	6046	6230
χ <sup>2</sup> = 5.32 ( <i>P</i> < 0.02)			





































## 95% CI= 15.8-33.1%

95% CI= 0-8%



## All Truth in a 2x2 Table – *Cohort with History of VV*

	VV	No VV	
No Sedation	21	69	90
Sedation	0	44	44
	21	113	134
χ <sup>2</sup> = 12.17 ( <i>P</i> < 0.00048)			



#### Conclusions

- 1. Sedation is <u>not routinely</u> necessary, as evidenced by large volume of patients not requiring it
- 2. In our hands VV occurred at a rate of 3.5% for all
- 3. Increased to 21% for those with a history of VV
- 4. Mild sedation for anxiety may abate this risk in select at risk populations
- 5. Future research on oral anxiolytics is warranted



#### References

- 1. Kennedy, D. J. *et al.* Vasovagal Rates in Flouroscopically Guided Interventional Procedures: A Study of Over 8,000 Injections. *Pain Med.* 14, 1854–1859 (2013).
- 2. Diehn, F. E. *et al.* An audit of transforaminal epidural steroid injections without sedation: low patient dissatisfaction and low vasovagal rates. *Pain Med. Malden Mass* **14**, 994–998 (2013).



## **Thank You!**

#### D.J. Kennedy, M.D.

Residency Program Director Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Clinical Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics Stanford University

djkenned@stanford.edu

Stanford MEDICINE & Rehabilitation

